

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/005,678

Applicant(s)

SPINDLER ET AL.

Examiner

N. Bhat

Art Unit

1764

All Participants:

(1) N. Bhat.

(2) G. McGowan.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

101/112, 1st paragraph "Use" claims

Claims discussed:

6

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the first office action claims 6 was objected to as being a non-statutory claim, because of the "use of" language. It is believed claim 6 was cancelled as can be seen through the prosecution history. There is no instruction from applicant to cancel claim 6, accordingly claim 6 has been cancelled by examiner's amendment in view of the allowable subject matter.